



**EASTGATE**

Regional Council of Governments

**Pavement Condition Summary  
Struthers City  
2017**

**Title VI/Non-Discrimination Policy**

It is Eastgate's Policy that all recipients of federal funds that pass through this agency ensure that they are in full compliance with Title VI and all related regulations and directives in all programs and activities.

No person shall, on the grounds of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, low-income status, or limited English proficiency be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination under any of Eastgate's programs, policies, or activities.

This report was financed by Federal Highway Administration, Ohio Department of Transportation, and Eastgate Regional Council of Governments.

# EASTGATE REGIONAL COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

*Serving Northeast Ohio since 1973*

The Eastgate Regional Council of Governments is a multipurpose Regional Council of Governments for Ashtabula, Mahoning and Trumbull Counties, as established by Section 167.01 of the Ohio Revised Code. Eastgate is the agency designated or recognized to perform the following functions:

- Serve as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) in Mahoning and Trumbull counties, with responsibility for the comprehensive, coordinated, and continuous planning for highways, public transit, and other transportation modes, as defined in Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act) legislation.
- Perform continuous water quality planning functions in cooperation with Ohio and U.S. EPA.
- Provide planning to meet air quality requirements under FAST Act and the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990.
- Administration of the Economic Development District Program of the Economic Development Administration.
- Administration of the Local Development District of the Appalachian Regional Commission.
- Administration of the State Capital Improvement Program for the District 6 Public Works Integrating Committee.
- Administer the area clearinghouse function, which includes providing local government with the opportunity to review a wide variety of local or state applications for federal funds.
- Administration of the Clean Ohio Conservation Funds
- Administration of the regional Rideshare Program for Ashtabula, Mahoning, and Trumbull Counties.
- With General Policy Board direction, provide planning assistance to local governments that comprise the Eastgate planning area.

## GENERAL POLICY BOARD (2018)

Chair – Pat Ginnetti, Mahoning County

Vice Chair – Julie Green, Trumbull County

Mayor Eric Augustein, Village of Beloit  
Mayor Ruth Bennett, Village of Orangeville  
Mayor Jamael Tito Brown, City of Youngstown  
Mauro Cantalamessa, Trumbull County Commissioner  
Mayor John Darko, City of Hubbard  
David Ditzler, Mahoning County Commissioner  
J.P. Ducro, IV, Ashtabula County Commissioner  
James Ferraro, Director, Western Reserve Transit Authority  
Mayor Herman Frank II, Village of Washingtonville  
Mayor Douglas Franklin, City of Warren  
Mayor Richard Duffett, City of Canfield  
Frank Fuda, Trumbull County Commissioner  
Fred Hanley, Hubbard Township Trustee  
Mark Hess, Trumbull County Transit  
Mayor Arno Hill, Village of Lordstown  
Mayor James Iudiciani, Village of Lowellville  
Mayor Harry Kale, Village of New Middletown  
Casey Kozlowski, Ashtabula County Commissioner  
Paul Makosky, City of Warren  
Mayor Shirley McIntosh, Village of West Farmington  
Mayor James Melfi, City of Girard  
John Moliterno, Western Reserve Port Authority

Mayor Nick Phillips, City of Campbell  
John Picuri, District Deputy Director, ODOT District 4  
Mayor J. Michael Pinkerton, Village of Sebring  
James J. Pirko, Citizens Advisory Board  
Representative, Trumbull County  
Mayor Glen. M. Puckett, Village of McDonald  
Daniel Polivka, Trumbull County Commissioner  
Kurt Princic, OEPA NE District Chief  
Carol Rimedio-Righetti, Mahoning County Commissioner  
Randy Samulka, Citizens Advisory Board  
Representative, Mahoning County  
Mayor Thomas Scarnecchia, City of Niles  
Mayor Timothy Sicafuse, Village of Poland  
Randy Smith, Trumbull County Engineer  
Mayor Dave Spencer, Village of Craig Beach  
Mayor Terry Stocker, City of Struthers  
Zachary Svette, Trumbull County Metro Parks  
Anthony Traficanti, Mahoning County Commissioner  
Mayor Lyle A. Waddell, Village of Newton Falls  
Kathryn Whittington, Ashtabula County Commissioner  
Mark Winchell, Ashtabula County  
Joanne Wollet, Poland Township Trustee  
Mayor James Woofter, City of Cortland  
Aaron Young, Mill Creek Metro Parks

## TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (2018)

Chair - Gary Shaffer

Vice Chair - Kristen Olmi

## CITIZENS ADVISORY BOARD (2018)

Chair - Randy Samulka

Vice Chair - James J. Pirko

## Introduction

To monitor the condition of roadways eligible for federal funding, Eastgate has compiled the pavement condition ratings for communities throughout Trumbull and Mahoning Counties. The Pavement Condition Summary reports provide local communities a snap shot in time of the condition of their Federal-Aid routes. The maps, tables, and charts included give communities information needed to make data-driven decisions. The roads are rated by the Ohio Department of Transportation. State roads are rated every year, while local roads are done on a two-year cycle. For this report, the state roads were rated in April and June 2017. Local roads were rated in January and February 2017.

## Rating Method

The rating method is based upon visual inspection of pavement distress. Determining a PCR is based upon the summation of deduct points for each type of observable distress. Deduct values are a function of distress type, severity, and extent. The following steps are taken from the Ohio Department of Transportation's Pavement Condition Rating Manual, 2006.

**Step 1.** The rating team (the rating team should consist of a Driver and a Rater) should ride the predetermined roadway section at a speed of about 60 km (40 MPH). During this step, readily visible distresses such as potholes, bleeding, settlement, faulting, spalling, and surface deterioration should be rated. Also the need for subdividing the section should be evaluated in step 1.

**Step 2.** A second pass along the roadway section should be made with stops at approximately 1.5 km (1 mile) intervals. For example, a 3 km (2-mile section) would require 2 stops to be made. At each stop the raters should evaluate the roadway by viewing 30 m (100') of the pavement. Close inspection of pavement cracking, crack sealing, rutting, raveling, joint spalling, D-cracking, and other visible distress should be made by viewing the pavement from the roadway shoulder.

**Step 3.** Complete the PCR form. The final rating form for the roadway section should represent the observed average of visible distress for the entire section. Separate rating forms based upon the step 1 observations and the individual stops made during step 2 are not required. However, raters may wish to use additional rating forms for each stop, simply for note keeping purposes.




Figure 1. Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) Scale

State Roads Rated  
 April/June 2017

Local Roads Rated  
 January/February 2017


0 0.075 0.15 0.3 Miles



# Struthers

**Pavement Condition Ratings**


- Very Poor
- Poor
- Fair to Poor
- Fair
- Good
- Very Good



Road Name	Begin Log	End Log	Functional Class	Lanes	Divided	Direction	Width (feet)	Length (feet)	PCR
5th	0	0.36	Major Collector	2	N	UP	36	1901	<b>98</b>
5th	0.36	0.43	Major Collector	2	N	UP	36	370	<b>83</b>
5th	0.43	0.53	Major Collector	2	N	UP	36	528	<b>83</b>
5th	0.53	1.03	Major Collector	2	N	UP	36	2640	<b>83</b>
5th	1.03	1.6	Major Collector	2	N	UP	36	3010	<b>83</b>
Center	6.04	6.06	Major Collector	2	N	UP	28	106	<b>98</b>
Center	6.06	6.13	Major Collector	2	N	UP	28	370	<b>98</b>
Center	6.13	6.16	Major Collector	2	N	UP	27	158	<b>98</b>
Center	6.16	6.93	Major Collector	2	N	UP	27	4066	<b>86</b>
Elm	0	0.63	Major Collector	2	N	UP	30	3326	<b>72</b>
Elm	0.63	0.64	Major Collector	2	N	UP	30	53	<b>72</b>
Elm	0.64	0.7	Major Collector	2	N	UP	30	317	<b>99</b>
Elm	0.7	0.7	Major Collector	2	N	UP	30	0	<b>99</b>
Elm	0.7	0.76	Major Collector	2	N	UP	30	317	<b>96</b>
Elm	0.76	1.27	Major Collector	2	N	UP	30	2693	<b>99</b>
Elm	1.27	1.34	Major Collector	2	N	UP	27	370	<b>73</b>
Lowellville	5.4	5.5	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	40	528	<b>96</b>
Lowellville	5.5	5.68	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	30	950	<b>96</b>
Lowellville	5.68	6	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	30	1690	<b>83</b>
Lowellville	6	6.51	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	30	2693	<b>100</b>
Lowellville	6.51	6.652	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	36	750	<b>100</b>
Lowellville	6.652	6.88	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	36	1204	<b>100</b>
Lowellville	6.88	7.17	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	31	1531	<b>98</b>

<b>Road Name</b>	<b>Begin Log</b>	<b>End Log</b>	<b>Functional Class</b>	<b>Lanes</b>	<b>Divided</b>	<b>Direction</b>	<b>Width (feet)</b>	<b>Length (feet)</b>	<b>PCR</b>
Midlothian	0	0.43	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	32	2270	<b>39</b>
Midlothian	0.43	0.59	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	32	845	<b>57</b>
Midlothian	0.59	0.64	Major Collector	2	N	UP	32	264	<b>57</b>
Poland	0	0.19	Major Collector	2	N	UP	29	1003	<b>98</b>
SR 170	11.4	11.51	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	33	581	<b>58</b>
SR 170	11.53	11.83	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	33	1584	<b>58</b>
SR 170	11.83	12.56	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	33	3854	<b>58</b>
SR 289	5.69	5.75	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	33	317	<b>92</b>
SR 289	5.75	5.96	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	40	1109	<b>83</b>
SR 289	5.96	6.09	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	40	686	<b>83</b>
SR 289	6.09	6.55	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	33	2429	<b>54</b>
SR 289	6.55	6.57	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	28	106	<b>54</b>
SR 289	6.57	6.64	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	28	370	<b>54</b>
SR 289	6.64	7.28	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	26	3379	<b>54</b>
SR 616	0.94	1.12	Principal Arterial	2	N	UP	32	950	<b>65</b>
SR 616	1.12	1.2	Principal Arterial	2	N	UP	30	422	<b>72</b>
SR 616	1.2	1.26	Principal Arterial	2	N	UP	30	317	<b>72</b>
SR 616	1.26	2.14	Principal Arterial	2	N	UP	30	4646	<b>72</b>
SR 616	2.14	2.58	Principal Arterial	2	N	UP	30	2323	<b>72</b>
SR 616	2.58	2.68	Principal Arterial	2	N	UP	30	528	<b>67</b>
SR 616	2.68	2.76	Principal Arterial	2	N	UP	30	422	<b>67</b>
SR 616	2.76	2.94	Principal Arterial	3	N	UP	40	950	<b>67</b>
SR 616	2.94	3.18	Principal Arterial	2	N	UP	40	1267	<b>92</b>
SR 616	3.18	3.256	Principal Arterial	2	N	UP	40	401	<b>92</b>
SR 616	3.256	3.33	Principal Arterial	2	N	UP	40	391	<b>92</b>
SR 616	3.33	3.5	Principal Arterial	2	N	UP	30	898	<b>51</b>
SR 616	3.5	3.56	Principal Arterial	2	N	UP	29	317	<b>51</b>
SR 616	3.56	3.81	Principal Arterial	2	N	UP	29	1320	<b>74</b>
State	4.47	5.36	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	30	4699	<b>82</b>
Walton	0	0.28	Minor Arterial	2	N	UP	30	1478	<b>67</b>

# Pavement Conditions by Percentage



Average weighted PCR – State and Local Routes – 76.8

Average weighted PCR – Local Routes only – 84.1