



**EASTGATE**

Regional Council of Governments

**Pavement Condition Summary  
Hubbard City  
2018**

**Title VI/Non-Discrimination Policy**

It is Eastgate's Policy that all recipients of federal funds that pass through this agency ensure that they are in full compliance with Title VI and all related regulations and directives in all programs and activities.

No person shall, on the grounds of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, low-income status, or limited English proficiency be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination under any of Eastgate's programs, policies, or activities.

This report was financed by Federal Highway Administration, Ohio Department of Transportation, and Eastgate Regional Council of Governments.

# EASTGATE REGIONAL COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

*Serving Northeast Ohio since 1973*

The Eastgate Regional Council of Governments is a multipurpose Regional Council of Governments for Ashtabula, Mahoning and Trumbull Counties, as established by Section 167.01 of the Ohio Revised Code. Eastgate is the agency designated or recognized to perform the following functions:

- Serve as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) in Mahoning and Trumbull counties, with responsibility for the comprehensive, coordinated, and continuous planning for highways, public transit, and other transportation modes, as defined in Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act) legislation.
- Perform continuous water quality planning functions in cooperation with Ohio and U.S. EPA.
- Provide planning to meet air quality requirements under FAST Act and the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990.
- Administration of the Economic Development District Program of the Economic Development Administration.
- Administration of the Local Development District of the Appalachian Regional Commission.
- Administration of the State Capital Improvement Program for the District 6 Public Works Integrating Committee.
- Administer the area clearinghouse function, which includes providing local government with the opportunity to review a wide variety of local or state applications for federal funds.
- Administration of the Clean Ohio Conservation Funds
- Administration of the regional Rideshare Program for Ashtabula, Mahoning, and Trumbull Counties.
- With General Policy Board direction, provide planning assistance to local governments that comprise the Eastgate planning area.

## GENERAL POLICY BOARD (2020)

Chair – Julie Green, Trumbull County      Vice Chair – J.P. Ducro, IV, Ashtabula County

Mayor Eric Augustein, Village of Beloit  
Mayor Ruth Bennett, Village of Orangeville  
Mayor Tito Brown, City of Youngstown  
Mauro Cantalamessa, Trumbull County Commissioner  
David Ditzler, Mahoning County Commissioner  
Mayor Richard Duffett, City of Canfield  
Mayor Herman Frank II, Village of Washingtonville  
Mayor Douglas Franklin, City of Warren  
Frank Fuda, Trumbull County Commissioner  
Genevieve Germaniuk, Citizens Advisory Board  
Representative, Trumbull County  
Pat Ginnetti, Mahoning County  
Fred Hanley, Hubbard Township Trustee  
Mayor James Harp, Village of Sebring  
Dean Harris, Executive Director, Western Reserve  
Transit Authority  
Mayor Arno Hill, Village of Lordstown  
Mayor James Iudiciani, Sr., Village of Lowellville  
Mayor Harry Kale, Village of New Middletown  
Mayor Kenneth Kline, Village of Newton Falls  
Casey Kozlowski, Ashtabula County Commissioner  
Mayor Benjamin A. Kyle, City of Hubbard  
Paul Makosky, City of Warren  
Mayor Shirley McIntosh, Village of West Farmington

Mayor Steve Mientkiewicz, City of Niles  
Mayor James Melfi, City of Girard  
Mayor Catherine Cercone Miller, City of Struthers  
John Moliterno, Western Reserve Port Authority  
Geri Noirot, District Deputy Director, ODOT District 4  
Mayor Deidre Petrosky, City of Cortland  
Mayor Nick Phillips, City of Campbell  
Daniel Polivka, Trumbull County Commissioner  
Kurt Princic, OEPA NE District Chief  
Mayor Glen. M. Puckett, Village of McDonald  
Carol Rimedio-Righetti, Mahoning County Commissioner  
Michael Salamone, Trumbull County Transit  
Randy Samulka, Citizens Advisory Board  
Representative, Mahoning County  
Mayor Timothy Sicafuse, Village of Poland  
Randy Smith, Trumbull County Engineer  
Mayor Dave Spencer, Village of Craig Beach  
Zachary Svette, Trumbull County Metro Parks  
Anthony Traficanti, Mahoning County Commissioner  
Kathryn Whittington, Ashtabula County Commissioner  
Mark Winchell, Ashtabula County  
Joanne Wollet, Poland Township Trustee  
Aaron Young, Mill Creek Metro Parks

## TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (2020)

Chair - Gary Shaffer      Vice Chair - Kristen Olmi

## CITIZENS ADVISORY BOARD (2020)

Chair - Kevin Kern      Vice Chair - Gerald Hurayt

## Introduction

To monitor the condition of roadways eligible for federal funding, Eastgate has compiled the pavement condition ratings for communities throughout Trumbull and Mahoning Counties. The Pavement Condition Summary reports provide local communities a snap shot in time of the condition of their Federal-Aid routes. The maps, tables, and charts included give communities information needed to make data-driven decisions. The roads are rated by the Ohio Department of Transportation. State roads are rated every year, while local roads are done on a two-year cycle. For this report, the state roads were rated in April, May and June 2018. Local roads were rated in October, November and December 2018.

## Rating Method

The rating method is based upon visual inspection of pavement distress. Determining a PCR is based upon the summation of deduct points for each type of observable distress. Deduct values are a function of distress type, severity, and extent. The following steps are taken from the Ohio Department of Transportation's Pavement Condition Rating Manual, 2006.

**Step 1.** The rating team (the rating team should consist of a Driver and a Rater) should ride the predetermined roadway section at a speed of about 60 km (40 MPH). During this step, readily visible distresses such as potholes, bleeding, settlement, faulting, spalling, and surface deterioration should be rated. Also the need for subdividing the section should be evaluated in step 1.

**Step 2.** A second pass along the roadway section should be made with stops at approximately 1.5 km (1 mile) intervals. For example, a 3 km (2-mile section) would require 2 stops to be made. At each stop the raters should evaluate the roadway by viewing 30 m (100') of the pavement. Close inspection of pavement cracking, crack sealing, rutting, raveling, joint spalling, D-cracking, and other visible distress should be made by viewing the pavement from the roadway shoulder.

**Step 3.** Complete the PCR form. The final rating form for the roadway section should represent the observed average of visible distress for the entire section. Separate rating forms based upon the step 1 observations and the individual stops made during step 2 are not required. However, raters may wish to use additional rating forms for each stop, simply for note keeping purposes.




Figure 1. Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) Scale

State Roads Rated  
April/June 2018

Local Roads Rated  
October/December 2018


0 0.23 0.45  
Miles



# Hubbard City


## PCR Rating

- Very Poor
- Poor
- Fair to Poor
- Fair
- Good
- Very Good



Road Name	Begin Log	End Log	Lanes	Width (feet)	PCR	Length (feet)	Functional Class
Elmwood	0.00	0.53	2	24	<b>70</b>	2798	Minor Arterial
Elmwood	0.53	0.93	2	19	<b>77</b>	2112	Minor Arterial
Elmwood	0.93	1.01	2	19	<b>87</b>	422	Minor Arterial
SR 304	5.86	6.28	2	30	<b>76</b>	2218	Minor Arterial
SR 304	7.08	7.74	2	30	<b>62</b>	3485	Minor Arterial
SR 304	7.74	8.93	2	44	<b>67</b>	6283	Major Collector
SR 616	0.63	1.40	2	27	<b>78</b>	4066	Principal Arterial
SR 616	1.40	1.60	2	36	<b>83</b>	1056	Principal Arterial
US 62	2.57	2.77	4	52	<b>88</b>	1056	Minor Arterial
US 62	2.77	3.57	4	52	<b>89</b>	4224	Minor Arterial
US 62	3.57	4.30	4	52	<b>93</b>	3854	Minor Arterial
US 62	4.30	4.56	4	52	<b>86</b>	1373	Minor Arterial

# Pavement Conditions by Percentage



Average weighted PCR – State and Local Routes – 79.1

Average weighted PCR – Local Routes only – 73.6